

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/613,683	07/03/2003	Chia Shen	MERL-1469 7317		
22199 7590 05/21/2007 MITSUBISHI ELECTRIC RESEARCH LABORATORIES, INC. 201 BROADWAY 8TH FLOOR CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139			EXAMINER		
			HARRISON, CHANTE E		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2628		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/21/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/613,683		SHEN ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Chante Harrison	İ	2628			
	MAILING DATE of this communication app						
•	Period for Reply						
WHICHEV  - Extensions of after SIX (6)  - If NO period  - Failure to reponder of the control of	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period work within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, believed by the Office later than three months after the mailing at term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS CON 36(a). In no event, howev vill apply and will expire SI cause the application to to	MMUNICATION. er, may a reply be time IX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED	ne mailing date of this communication.  (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠ Resp	oonsive to communication(s) filed on <u>7/3/03</u>	<u>3</u> .					
2a)☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)☐ Since	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
close	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of	f Claims						
4)⊠ Clair	m(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)∐ Clair	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Clair	Claim(s) <u>1 and 4</u> is/are rejected.						
·	m(s) <u>2,3 and 5-11</u> is/are objected to.						
8)∐ Clair	m(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requiren	nent.				
Application P	apers						
9)∏ The s	specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)∏ The c	drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b)⊡ obje	cted to by the E	xaminer.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under	r 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
<b>૩૯૯</b> ((	le attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified cop	pies not received	u.			
Attachment(s)		_					
	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		nterview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) X Information	Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) )/Mail Date	5) 🔲 1	Notice of Informal Pa Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/613,683 Page 2

Art Unit: 2628

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Baback Moghaddam et al. "PDH: A Human-Centric Interface for Image Libraries", Mitsubishi Electric Research Laboratories, Inc., 2002, pp. 1-6.

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the

Art Unit: 2628

col. 1, Para 2).

application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

As per independent claim 1, Moghaddam a display area having a horizontal orientation (Fig. 1), the display surface positioned between the multiple users (Fig. 1), the display area having a circumference (Fig. 1); a plurality of work areas partitioned from the display area, there being one working area for each user of the multiple users (i.e. a multi-person interface having multiple input and manipulation points for multiple simultaneous control) (pp. 3,col. 1, Para 2; pp. 3, col. 2, Para 1; pp. 4, col. 1, Para 2); and means for orienting a displayed item in a particular working area using a global polar coordinate system (i.e. user interface orienting objects using polar coordinates) (pp. 3,

Moghaddam fails to specifically disclose the display area having a centroid.

col. 2, Para 1) that displays objects radially about a center of the display (Fig. 2; pp. 4,

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the display area having a centroid with the method of Moghaddam because Moghaddam teaches radially displaying and manipulating objects about the center of the display area (pp. 4, col. 1, Para 2).

Application/Control Number: 10/613,683 Page 4

Art Unit: 2628

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include the display area having a centroid with the method of Moghaddam for the benefit of manipulating objects for display relative to a circular display surface.

As per dependent claim 4, Moghaddam discloses the display area is circular (Fig. 1).

3. Claims 2, 3, 5-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2628

Conclusion

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chante Harrison whose telephone number is 571-272-7659. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kee Tung can be reached on 571-272-7794. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Chante Harrison Examiner Art Unit 2628

Chante Hanison

Ch May 15, 2007